

<b>PART B – Please complete a separate Part B form for each part of the document you wish to comment on</b>	
<b>Name</b> <i>(to ensure the comments are correctly recorded from each form)</i>	<b>Lynda Moore</b>

**1. To which document does this representation relate?**

<b>Proposed Submission Plan</b>	<b>YES</b> (please delete)
<b>Policies Map within the Plan</b>	<b>YES</b> (please delete)
<b>Sustainability Appraisal Report</b>	<b>NO</b> (please delete)
<b>Other Document</b> <i>(please specify)</i>	

**2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?**

<b>Paragraph</b> <i>(please specify)</i>	
<b>Policy</b> <i>(please specify)</i>	<b>BIC 1 Bicester Area Strategy</b>
<b>Table</b> <i>(please specify)</i>	
<b>Appendix</b> <i>(please specify)</i>	
<b>Other reference in document</b> <i>(please specify)</i>	

**3. Do you consider the Proposed Submission Plan to be:**

<b>Legally &amp; Procedurally Compliant?</b>	<b>YES</b> (please delete)
<b>Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	<b>YES</b> (please delete)
<i>The 'tests' of Soundness:</i>	
<b>Positively Prepared</b>	<b>YES</b> (please delete)
<b>Justified</b>	<b>YES</b> (please delete)
<b>Effective</b>	<b>NO</b> (please delete)

<b>Consistent with National Policy</b>	<b>YES</b> (please delete)
--	----------------------------

**4. Please provide the reasons if you have selected 'NO' to any part of Question 3 and consider the Plan to be uncompliant and/or unsound. Please make reference to the part of the document you have identified. Alternatively, please explain why you consider the Plan to be compliant and/or sound.**

CPRE Oxfordshire supports the shelving of the proposed housing developments south of Chesterton and south east of Wretchwick Green that were in the reg 18 plan. CPRE does have concerns as to the deliverability of the housing numbers proposed for North West Bicester. This is a carry over of Policy Bicester 1 from the existing local plan. Not all of the proposed housing will fall within the plan window with 4,300 of the total number falling after 2042. CPRE Oxfordshire is concerned that if the current housing development sites, identified as part of the Local Plan Reg 19 are not delivered in a timely fashion, this will have a knock on impact on proposed policy rural1 which CPRE strongly supports. We have concerns as to the potential of Cherwell failing to deliver a five year land supply. This is more likely to encourage speculative housing development on the outskirts of villages with all of its associated impacts on the countryside.

CPRE Oxfordshire questions the planned increase employment sites over and above the reg 19 plan. Site hectares for employment land appears to have increased by 40 hectares. However the number of houses proposed for Bicester has declined between reg 18 and 19. Does this mean that employment at these sites will be filled by employees commuting to the site from outside of the immediate area which is seems at odds with the local plan's objective of reducing carbon emissions and preserving the historic environment.

CPRE Oxfordshire is concerned as to whether the existing infrastructure in Bicester can cope and manage the planned future housing and employment demands. We have concerns as to when the promised new Strategic Link Road will be delivered which will help amelorate some of these issues. Although Bicester is one of the fastest growing towns in the South East CPRE Cherwell is concerned as to whether necessary infrastructure such as roads and health provision have and will be able to keep pace.

CPRE Oxfordshire is also aware of a major development to the north of Bucknell near Bicester is likely to come forward this Summer. This will clearly have a knock on impact on other developments proposed within the plan as well as planning officer time.

**(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)**

**5. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. Please provide any suggested wording or re-wording you consider necessary.**

Whilst CPRE Oxfordshire do not provide alternative wording , we have highlighted above our concerns over the deliverability of the Plan numbers and the potential impact on the countryside through speculative development should these be unable to be delivered. We ask the Inspector to seek assurances on deliverability from the council.

**(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)**

**6. If you are seeking a change to the Plan, do you wish to express an interest to participate in the Examination?**

**I wish to participate at the oral examination**

**YES** (please delete)

**7. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

CPRE Oxfordshire have within our organisation experts across all fields which impact on countryside protection. We have responded with several Part B forms in this area, and consider we are best placed to voice areas of concern in relation to this top consultee priority

**(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)**

**Please note:** *the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination.*

**PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM BY 11:59pm ON FRIDAY 14 FEBRUARY 2025 BY EMAIL TO:**

[PlanningPolicyConsultation@cherwell-dc.gov.uk](mailto:PlanningPolicyConsultation@cherwell-dc.gov.uk)

**ALTERNATIVELY PLEASE SEND BY POST TO:**

**Planning Policy Consultation**

**Planning Policy Team**

**Strategic Planning and the Economy**

**Cherwell District Council**

**Bodicote House**

**Bodicote**

**Banbury**

**OX15 4AA**