

Listening Learning Leading

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Publication Version Representation Form

Please return by 5pm on Monday 18 February 2019 to: Planning Policy, South Oxfordshire District Council, 135 Eastern Avenue, Milton Park, Milton, Abingdon, OX14 4SB or email it to planning.policy@southoxon.gov.uk

. 01		
This form has two parts: Part A – contact details Part B – your comments	participation at oral examinatior	١
Part A		
Are you responding as an	: (please tick)	
Agent	x Business or organisation	on Individual
details are required for yo	ur comments to be considered. I ase provide their details in colum	examination, a name and contact f you are acting on behalf of n one and your company name and
	1. Personal Details	2. Agent Details (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
Full Name	Helen	
Job Title (where relevant)	Marshall	
Organisation	CPRE OXFORDSHIRE	
(where relevant) Address Line 1	20 High Street	
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Postal Town	Watlington	
Postcode	OX49 5AF	
Telephone Number	01491 612079	
Email Address	campaign@cpreoxon.org.uk	

For information on sharing your details: please see page 3

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates.

If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph:

Section 4, Spatial Strategy p. 25 et seq. and Policy STRAT 1

Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:							
(1) are legally compliant	Yes	No x	Don't know				
(2) are sound	Yes	No x	Don't know				
(3) comply with the Duty to Cooperate	Yes	No x	Don't know				

Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

The Spatial Strategy is not, as claimed, an evolution of the current adopted Plan, nor is it either appropriate or achievable.

The Spatial Strategy at 4.8 states that it "draws together" the Core Strategy approach with development at Science Vale and "next to the major urban area of Oxford".

This was not in fact the Core Strategy Policy. On the contrary, whilst focussing on Didcot/Science Vale, the Core Strategy included no allocations "next to the major urban area of Oxford" but instead concentrated other development on the market towns of Henley, Thame and Wallingford which had been the long-term Structure Plan policy of directing development to County Towns and away from Oxford. This was a strategy which CPRE endorsed as it protected the historic setting of the City, protected the Green Belt and acknowledged that the medieval layout of Oxford, and its rivers and flood plains made it unsuitable as a development hub.

The new Plan is therefore not in any sense "drawing together" the Core Strategy approach but in fact proceeding in an entirely new direction, a direction which at the time of the Core Strategy and previously had been dismissed.

Not only is 4.8 misleading, as the Strategy it describes is not an evolution of a longstanding position but an entirely new direction, but the Strategy itself is misconceived. Whilst the concentration on the Science Vale is supported, the Strategy of directing development to Green Belt sites particularly on the urban fringe of Oxford is unjustified and unacceptable as are the excessive housing and employment numbers in the Plan which will do more to create environmental and social problems than resolve them, as well as placing undue strain on infrastructure including roads and education.

The scale and location of new development is inappropriate, and also likely to be unachievable and undeliverable. It is unlikely that the rate of development implicit in the Plan could be achieved either in terms of builders' capacity to build the houses, or create the appropriate infrastructure, or the landscape's capacity to absorb the houses, or communities' capacity to absorb the "incomers" implicit in the Plan. This will lead to both a failure to meet the Plan totals and – especially in the later stages – three/five year housing supply, but also to developers cherry picking the most sensitive of the allocations particularly around Oxford for the most likely consumer base of London commuters. This in turn will lead to settlements full of absentees contributing little to the local economy or to social cohesion and real locals priced out of housing by the spending power of more affluent commuters. (Continue on page 4 if necessary) Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible. The Plan is fundamentally unsound and should be re-drafted based on the appropriately assessed needs of local residents. Any additional growth should be modest in scale; at a rate which can be absorbed without harm to the rural nature of the District, or to the cohesion of its society; in sustainable locations outside the Green Belt and AONB; and deliverable.

(Continue on page 4 if necessary)

	ı like to par mination pr	•	he oral part	t of the	e examinat	ion, which takes place a	s part
Yes x	No						
* Please not indicated that						rocedure to hear those wh	o have
Signature:					Date:	15.2.19	
	(this	can be ele	ctronic)				
Sharing yoເ	ır persona	l details					
	e Local Pla	n. The Sec	cretary of St	tate wi	ill appoint a	e alongside a submission an independent planning	
a programm respondents necessary th	e officer, w . This mean ne council) d Country I	ho will act and the state of the	as a point o will be con es on the Lo ocal Planni	of contacted ocal Pling) (E	act betwee by the pro lan. This is ingland) R	with the planning inspe on the council, inspector ogramme officer (and whater is required by Regulation egulations 2012 and Se	and nere 22 of
officer will be programme	e kept secu officer will r	rely and no etain the d	ot used for a lata up to si	any oth x mon	ner purpos ths after th	ning inspector and prog e. The inspector and ne plan has been adopte ars after the plan has be	ed.
only. No oth	er contact s will be pu about how v	details will blished on ve use and	be publishe our website store your	ed. Co e inclu	omments s ding conta	vebsite alongside their noubmitted by businesses not details. If you would l it	and/or
Future cont	act prefere	ences					
contacted by on the Local shared planr	the progra Plan. Sout ning policy	mme office h Oxfordsh database.	er (and whe nire and Val If you would	ere ned le of W d like to	cessary the hite Horse o be adde	utory regulations you wile council) with relevant use District Councils have do nour database to receive relevant district box(es	updates a eive
	like to be a s for South		e database e	to rec	eive plann	ing policy	
	also like to		to the datal	base t	o receive p	blanning	

Further comment: Please use this space to provide further comment on the relevant questions in this form. You must state which question your comment relates to.

Alternative formats of this form are available on request. Please email planning.policy@southoxon.gov.uk or call 01235 422600 (Text phone users add 18001 before you dial).

Please return this form by 5pm on Monday 18 February 2019 to: Planning Policy, South Oxfordshire District Council, 135 Eastern Avenue, Milton Park, Milton, Abingdon, OX14 4SB or email it to planning.policy@southoxon.gov.uk.