

Listening Learning Leading

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Publication Version Representation Form

Please return by 5pm on Monday 18 February 2019 to: Planning Policy, South Oxfordshire District Council, 135 Eastern Avenue, Milton Park, Milton, Abingdon, OX14 4SB or email it to planning.policy@southoxon.gov.uk

proming.pone) @ coamical	ogeg.				
This form has two parts: Part A – contact details Part B – your comments	/ participation at oral examinatior	1			
Part A					
Are you responding as an: (please tick)					
Agent	x Business or organisation	on Individual			
Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and contact details are required for your comments to be considered. If you are acting on behalf of another organisation, please provide their details in column one and your company name and contact details in column two.					
	1. Personal Details	2. Agent Details (if applicable)			
Title	Mrs				
Full Name	Helen				
Job Title (where relevant)	Marshall				
Organisation (where relevant)	CPRE OXFORDSHIRE				
Address Line 1	20 High Street				
Address Line 2					
Address Line 3					
Postal Town	Watlington				
Postcode	OX49 5AF				
Telephone Number	01491 612079				
Fmail Address	campaign@cpreoxon.org.uk				

For information on sharing your details: please see page 3

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates.

If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

please state the document title a	as well as the paragrap	on or policy refere	nce.	
Document / Policy / Paragraph:	ENV 8 Conservation	Areas		
Do you consider the Local Plan	and supporting docum	nents:		
•	., .			
(1) are legally compliant	Yes	No	Don't know	
(2) are sound	Yes	No X	Don't know	
(3) comply with the Duty to Coope	erate Yes	No	Don't know	
Please provide further informati	ion in rolation to the n	rovious guestion	o a why you do or	
do not consider the Local Plan	•	•	e.g. why you do of	
(SEE ALSO RESPONSES TO EN	V 6-7 AND ENV 9-10)			
Policies ENV6 to ENV10 are in general positively planned with a good range of aspirations to conserve and enhance the historic environment, but in detail have some shortcomings and no commitment to a delivery framework for the partnership working that is laudably envisaged.				
As drafted, these policies are unlikely to be as effective as they should be unless the parameters for informed decision-making judging the planning balance and delivering positive outcomes and effective reduction or offsetting of harm are much more clearly stated as applying generally to all heritage assets. As it stands, the draft is extremely repetitive and seeks to paraphrase NPPF in a way that is incomplete and or introduces misleading emphasis to issues where judgments are more subtly nuanced when the full NPPF tests are applied. A very much simpler reference to national policy would serve very much better and make the policies more concise AND more explicitly consistent with NPPF simply by virtue of NOT repeatedly trying (inaccurately) to paraphrase it.				
At present the NPPF policies on the historic environment are repeated for different types of assets but to different extents and not in a fully consistent way. The effect is to apply NPPF in an inconsistent manner depending on the type of asset.				
The most obvious discrepancy is in assets to mitigate harm, which apa compliant with NPPF	• • • • • •	-	_	
Taken together policies ENV6-10 while appearing to be quite thorough in fact leave gaps and loopholes that make them not fully compliant with national policy or likely to be as effective in achieving the worthy objectives they aspire to as they should be.				

(Continue on page 4 if necessary)

Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

The fairly extensive revisions proposed below retain the structure of the policies but have restructured the content in several cases to avoid repetition while also adding detailed points to plug gaps, clarify the issues and improve grammar etc. It has drawn heavily on the revised policy agreed between Historic England, CPRE and West Oxfordshire District Council in respect of the WODC Local Plan Examination, which started with a draft with almost identical wording to SODC.

Following the same principles, ENV6 is made more comprehensive in reflecting the principles of decision-making across all types of heritage asset in line with and closely reflecting NPPF, but without repeatedly paraphrasing the details of balancing heritage significance and harm with other public benefits.

The asset-specific policies refer back to ENV6 for this, but retain some comments especially pertinent to the asset type.

Overall, without adding length the proposed changes would result in a more comprehensive clearer and better constructed set of policies for this topic.

Amend as follows (**deletions** bold strikethrough **insertions** bold underlined):

Proposals for development within or affecting the setting of a Conservation Area must conserve or enhance its special interest, character, setting and appearance. General principles of assessment, decision-making investigation and recording as set out in ENV6 apply.

Development will be expected to:

- i) contribute to the Conservation Area's special interest and its relationship with its setting, and to the retention and/or enhancement of the special characteristics of the conservation Area (such as existing walls, buildings, trees, hedges, burgage plots, traditional shopfronts and signs, farm groups, medieval townscapes, archaeological features, historic routes etc.) should be preserved;
- ii) take into account important views within, into or out of the conservation area and show that these would be retained and unharmed;
- iii) respect the local character and distinctiveness of the conservation area in terms of through sympathetic, high quality design of the development in terms of its: siting; size; scale; height; alignment; materials and finishes (including colour and texture); proportions; design architectural style; and form, in accordance with the South Oxfordshire Design Guide and any relevant Conservation Area character Appraisal;
- iv) <u>preserve long-term historic relationships between rural settlements and surrounding open countryside, especially where not obscured by more recent development and</u> be sympathetic to the original curtilage of buildings and pattern of development that forms part of the historic interest of the Conservation Area;
- v) <u>be sympathetic to</u> <u>conserve and or enhance</u> important <u>open</u> spaces such as paddocks, greens, gardens and other gaps or spaces between buildings which make a positive contribution to the <u>pattern of</u> <u>development in</u> <u>historic interest of</u> the conservation area;
- vi) ensure the wider social and environmental effects generated by the development are compatible with the existing character and appearance of the conservation area; and/or
- vii) ensure no loss of, or harm to any building or feature that <u>individually or cumulatively</u> makes a positive contribution to the special interest, character or appearance of the conservation area.

Where a proposed development will lead to substantial harm to or total loss of significance of a conservation Area, consent will only be granted were it can be demonstrated that the substantial barm is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that barm or loss. Where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a conservation Area, this harm will be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal. Wherever possible the sympathetic restoration and re-use of structures which make a positive contribution to the special interest, character or appearance of the Conservation Area will be encouraged to prevent harm through the cumulative loss of features which are an asset to the Conservation Area. Applicants will be required to describe, in line with best practice and relevant national guidance, the significance of any heritage assets affected including any contribution made by their setting, the level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance, in some circumstances, further survey, analysis and recording will be made a condition of consent This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 5 & 7. (Continue on page 4 if necessary) Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process? * Yes X No * Please note: the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the public hearing. Signature: Date: 15.2.19 (this can be electronic)

Sharing your personal details

All comments will be submitted in full to the Secretary of State alongside a submission version of the Local Plan. The Secretary of State will appoint an independent planning inspector, who will carry out an examination of the plan.

Your name, contact details and comments will also be shared with the planning inspector and a programme officer, who will act as a point of contact between the council, inspector and respondents. This means that you will be contacted by the programme officer (and where necessary the council) with updates on the Local Plan. This is required by Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 and Section 20 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

We have received assurance that the data passed to the planning inspector and programme officer will be kept securely and not used for any other purpose. The inspector and programme officer will retain the data up to six months after the plan has been adopted.

South Oxfordshire District Council will hold the data for six years after the plan has been adopted.

Comments submitted by individuals will be published on our website alongside their name only. No other contact details will be published. Comments submitted by businesses and/or organisations will be published on our website including contact details. If you would like to know more about how we use and store your data, please visit www.southoxon.gov.uk/dataprotection

Future contact preferences

As explained in our data protection statement, in line with statutory regulations you will be contacted by the programme officer (and where necessary the council) with relevant updates on the Local Plan. South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils have a shared planning policy database. If you would like to be added to our database to receive updates on other planning policy consultations, please tick the relevant district box(es):

 I would like to be added to the database to receive planning policy updates for South Oxfordshire 				
 I would also like to be added to the database to receive planning policy updates for Vale of White Horse 				
Further comment: Please use this space to provide further comment on the relevant questions in this form. You must state which question your comment relates to.				



Alternative formats of this form are available on request. Please email planning.policy@southoxon.gov.uk or call 01235 422600 (Text phone users add 18001 before you dial).

Please return this form by 5pm on Monday 18 February 2019 to: Planning Policy, South Oxfordshire District Council, 135 Eastern Avenue, Milton Park, Milton, Abingdon, OX14 4SB or email it to planning.policy@southoxon.gov.uk.